

Docket Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)


Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) CISCO-3294	
<p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on <u>April 14, 2006</u></p> <p>Signature <u>[Signature]</u></p> <p>Typed or printed Name <u>Monica Pizarro</u></p>		Application Number 09/734,952	Filed December 11, 2000
		First Named Inventor Aravind Sitaraman et al.	
		Art Unit 2154	Examiner Ashokkumar B. Patel
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p>			
<p>I am the</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number <u>42,125</u></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number _____</p>		<p><u>[Signature]</u> Signature</p> <p><u>John P. Schaub</u> Typed or printed name</p> <p><u>(408) 292-5800</u> Telephone number</p> <p><u>4/13/06</u> Date</p>	
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> *Total of <u>1</u> forms are submitted.</p>			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Aravind Sitaraman et al.
SERIAL NO.: 09/734,952 CONFIRMATION NO.: 4939
FILING DATE: December 11, 2000
TITLE: Preventing HTTP Server Attacks
EXAMINER: Ashokkumar B. Patel TELEPHONE: (571) 272-3972
FAX: (703) 872-9306
ART UNIT: 2154

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450, on the date printed below:

Date: 4/14/06

Name: Monica Pizzarro

Mail Stop: AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attachment to Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review

The Applicant respectfully requests a formal review of the legal and factual basis of the rejections in the above referenced application in view of the following remarks:

1. Claims 2, 5, 13, 16, 24, and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by Lin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,751,668). Applicant traverses this rejection for the reasons provided in the Response to Office Action filed August 19, 2005. See page 11 last paragraph to page 18 third paragraph of that Response in particular.


2. Claims 3, 4, 6, 14, 15, 17-20, 25, 26, and 29-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lin et al. in view of Primeaux et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,334,121) Applicant traverses this rejection for the reasons provided in the Response to Office Action filed August 19, 2005. See the following portions of that Response in particular:
page 11 last paragraph to page 12 last paragraph; and
page 18 fourth paragraph to page 24 third full paragraph.

3. Claims 36-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lin et al. in view of Primeaux et al. and further in view of Prabandham et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,701,438). Applicant traverses this rejection for the reasons provided in the Response to Office Action filed August 19, 2005. See the following portions of that Response in particular:
page 11 last paragraph to page 12 last paragraph; and
page 24 third full paragraph to page 25 last paragraph.

The Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Please charge any additional required fee or credit any overpayment not otherwise paid or credited to our deposit account No. 50-1698.

Respectfully submitted,

THELEN REID & PRIEST, LLP



John P. Schaub
Reg. No. 42,125

Dated: April 13, 2006

Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640
Tel. (408) 292-5800
Fax. (408) 287-8040